

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,168	11/03/2003	Bugra Gedik	YOR920030448US1	3671
GEORGE A. WILLINGHAN, III AUGUST LAW GROUP, LLC P.O. BOX 19080 BALTIMORE, MD 21284-9080			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CUONG H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/700,168	GEDIK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-31 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-31 are pending in this application. Claims 1-13 are elected for examination on 12/13/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-13 comprise vague limitations: i.e., how to construct a bounding box ? what shape is it? What size it is? Why the size varies? The changes or undefined sizes or shape of a bounding box made this invention vague, and unclear because one can not solve a problem (duplicate an claimed invention) with more than one variable (as in claim 1: undefined shape and undefined sizes of a bounding box) these claims are equivalent to an equation with 2 (or more) variables a solution is obtained where its results never been concrete with no assumption made. The claim must generate a concrete (fixed) result as a requirement.
- 3. Claims 1, and 9 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being conflict when claiming in claim 1 "a bounding box to contain both a moving object and a moving query"; in a dependent claim 9, it cites: bounding boxes intersecting with a bounding box associated with a query. It never discloses that intersecting bounding boxes in preceding claims. Claim 1 only defines: "a bounding box to contain both a moving object and a moving query" there is NO query that is intersecting in preceding claims 1, and 6-8 (see the specification, para. [0008] "the bounding boxes are constructed so that the objects and queries remain within a given box for a period of time".
- 4. Claim 4 also requires a limitation of: "...sizing the rectangle <u>based upon a speed</u>
 and <u>a direction of motion</u> of the corresponding moving object or moving query" this

limitation is unclear because <u>a speed</u> and <u>a direction of motion</u> are not sufficient to define a size of a rectangle as claimed (a direction of motion can not be defined a dimension).

5. According to the specification: a definition of a claimed bounding box is not concrete, it is virtually constructed, and its size and shape are always varied. (see para. [0008] "The bounding boxes are motion-adaptive bounding boxes that vary in size and shape depending on the speed and direction of the moving objects and queries. Although the objects and queries move, the bounding boxes are constructed so that the objects and queries remain within a given box for a period of time so that multiple evaluations can be conducted before new bounding boxes need to be created."

Throughout the disclosure, a bounding box never been correctly defined because its structural sizes and shape MUST BE defined accordingly.

Conclusion

- 6. Pending claims 1-13 are rejected.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6759 (email address: cuong.nguyen@uspto.gov). The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THOMAS G. BLACK can be reached on 571-272-6956. The Rightfax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-6956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toil-free).

Please provide support, with page and line numbers, for any amended or new claim in an effort to help advance prosecution; otherwise any new claim language that is introduced in an amended or new claim may be considered as new matter, especially if the Application is a Jumbo Application.

CUONG NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER